

On L^q Norm Inequalities of Polynomial

Barchand Chanam

Associate Professor, National Institute of Technology Manipur, Manipur, India
 barchand_2004@yahoo.co.in

Abstract:

If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n which does not vanish in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then for $0 < r \leq \rho \leq t$, Dewan and Mir [Int. J. Math. Math. Scs., 16(2005), 2641-2645] proved

$$\max_{|z|=\rho} |f'(z)| \leq n \frac{(\rho+t)^{n-1}}{(t+r)^n} \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 - \frac{t(t-\rho)(n|c_0|-t|c_1|)n}{(t^2+\rho^2)n|c_0|+2t^2\rho|c_1|} \left(\frac{\rho-r}{t+\rho} \right) \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^{n-1} \right\}.$$

In this paper, we prove an interesting improved L^q norm inequality with the value of t extending from $t \geq 1$ to $t > 0$ of the above inequality. Our result also gives some interesting known results as corollaries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ be a polynomial of degree n and $f'(z)$ be its derivative. We define

$$\|f\|_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, 0 < q < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

If we let $q \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equality and make use of the well-known fact from analysis [17] that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|,$$

we can suitably denote

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|.$$

Similarly, one can define $\|f\|_0 = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\}$

and show that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \|f\|_q = \|f\|_0$. It would be of further interest that by taking limits as $q \rightarrow 0+$, the stated result holding for $q > 0$, holds for $q = 0$ as well.

For $r > 0$, we denote by $M(f, r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ and accordingly $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)| = M(f, 1)$.

A famous result due to Bernstein [14 or also see 19] states that if $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n , then

$$\|f'\|_\infty \leq n \|f\|_\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Inequality (1.2) can be obtained by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality

$$\|f'\|_q \leq n \|f\|_q. \quad (1.3)$$

Inequality (1.3) for $q \geq 1$ is due to Zygmund [20]. Arestov [1] proved that (1.3) remains valid for $0 < q < 1$ as well.

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zero in $|z| < 1$, then inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) can be respectively improved by

$$\|f'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{2} \|f\|_\infty. \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\|f'\|_q \leq \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_q} \|f\|_q, q > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Inequality (1.4) was conjectured by Erdős and later verified by Lax [12], whereas, inequality (1.5) was proved by de-Brujin [4]

for $q \geq 1$. Rahman and Schmeisser [16] showed that (1.5) remains true for $0 < q < 1$.

As a generalization of (1.4), Malik [13] proved that if $f(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then

$$\|f'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{1+t} \|f\|_\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Under the same hypotheses of the polynomial $p(z)$, Govil and Rahman [8] extended inequality (1.6) to L^q norm by showing that

$$\|f'\|_q \leq \frac{n}{\|t+z\|_q} \|f\|_q, \quad q \geq 1. \tag{1.7}$$

It was shown by Gardner and Weems [7] and independently by Rather [17] that (1.7) also holds for $0 < q < 1$.

Further, as a generalization of (1.6), Bidkham and Dewan [3] proved that if $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq r \leq t$,

$$\|f'(rz)\|_\infty \leq n \frac{(r+t)^{n-1}}{(1+t)^n} \|f\|_\infty \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq t. \tag{1.8}$$

For the same class of polynomials $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$, by involving certain coefficients, Dewan and Mir [5] improved as well as generalized inequality (1.8) by proving

$$\|f'(\rho z)\|_\infty \leq n \frac{(\rho+t)^{n-1}}{(r+t)^n} \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{t(t-\rho)(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)n}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left(\frac{\rho-r}{t+\rho} \right) \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^{n-1} \right\} \|f(rz)\|_\infty \tag{1.9}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$.

In this paper, we prove an improved inequality in L^q norm for extended value of $t > 0$, which not only reduces to L^q version of inequality (1.9) as a particular case, but also gives some interesting known results as corollaries. More precisely, we prove

Theorem. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$ and any $q > 0$,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n T_q \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [|f(re^{i\theta})| + M(f,r)] \times \left[\left\{ \frac{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right]^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$T_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |t + \rho e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Remark 1.1. If we let $q \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of inequality (1.10) of our theorem, as mentioned earlier, we obtain an improved counterpart of inequality (1.9) as given below.

Corollary 1.1. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$.

$$\|f'(\rho z)\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{\rho+t} \left\{ \frac{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} \|f(rz)\|_\infty. \tag{1.11}$$

Remark 1.2. If $0 \leq r < \rho \leq t$, we have

$$1 - \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^n = \frac{(\rho-r)}{(\rho+t) \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{r+t}{\rho+t} \right) \right\}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^n \right\} = \left(\frac{\rho-r}{t+\rho} \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^{n-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right) + 1 \right\} \geq \left(\frac{\rho-r}{t+\rho} \right) n \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^{n-1}. \tag{1.12}$$

Also, for $r = \rho$, inequality (1.12) holds trivially and hence inequality (1.12) is true for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$. By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \frac{(n|c_0|\rho + |c_1|t^2)(\rho + t)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho + t}{r + t} \right)^n - 1 \right\} + 1 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\rho + t}{r + t} \right)^n \left[1 - \frac{t(t - \rho)(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right] \\ & \quad \times \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{t + r}{t + \rho} \right)^n \right\} \\ & \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.9)} \\ & \leq \frac{(\rho + t)^n}{(r + t)^n} \left\{ 1 - \frac{t(t - \rho)(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)n}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\rho - r}{t + \rho} \right) \left(\frac{t + r}{t + \rho} \right)^{n-1} \right\} \text{ [by inequality(1.12)]} \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

It is interesting that by using this inequality in inequality (1.10), we obtain the direct L^q analogue of (1.9) due to Dewan and Mir [5] with extended value of the radius t of the zero free open disc from $t \geq 1$ to $t > 0$.

Corollary 1.2. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$ and any $q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f'(\rho z)\|_q & \leq nT_q \|f(rz)\| + M(f, r) \left\{ \frac{(\rho + t)^n}{(r + t)^n} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{t(t - \rho)(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)n}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left(\frac{\rho - r}{t + \rho} \right) \left(\frac{t + r}{t + \rho} \right)^{n-1} \right\} - 1 \right\} \Bigg\|_q, \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$T_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |t + \rho e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Remark 1.3. Taking limit as $q \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (1.14), we obtain inequality (1.9).

Remark 1.4. If we use the fact that $|f(re^{i\theta})| \leq M(f, r) = \|f(rz)\|_\infty$ for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we obtain another improved version of inequality (1.9) in L^q norm deduced from our theorem.

Corollary 1.3. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$ and any $q > 0$,

$$\|f'(\rho z)\|_q \leq nT_q \left\{ \frac{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} \|f(rz)\|_\infty. \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$T_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |t + \rho e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Remark 1.5. Putting $r = 1$ and replacing ρ by r in corollary 1.3, we have an improvement of (1.8). Further, putting $1 = r = \rho$, corollary 1.2 reduce to inequality (1.7). Also assigning $1 = r = \rho = k$, both the theorem and corollary 1.2 reduce to the well-known de- Bruijn inequality (1.5).

2. LEMMA

The following lemmas are needed for the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2.1. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=1}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |f'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+t} \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|. \tag{2.1}$$

This result is due to Malik [13].

Lemma 2.2. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=1}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then for $|z| = 1$,

$$t|f(z)| \leq |g'(z)|. \tag{2.2}$$

where $g(z) = z^n f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$.

Malik [13, Lemma 3] proved this lemma.

Lemma 2.3. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=1}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |f'(z)| \leq n \frac{n|c_0| + t^2|c_1|}{(1+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|} \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|. \tag{2.3}$$

This result was proved by Govil et. al. [9].

Lemma 2.4. If $f(z) = c_0 + \sum_{v=\mu}^n c_v z^v, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t \geq 1$, then

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{c_\mu}{c_0} \right| t^\mu \leq 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.3 is due to Qazi [15, Remark 1].

Lemma 2.5. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then the function

$$p(x) = \frac{(n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2)(t+x)}{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2|c_1|t^2x}. \tag{2.5}$$

is a non-decreasing function of x in $(0, t]$.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. We prove this by derivative test. Now, we have

$$p'(x) = \frac{(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)}{\{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x\}^2} \times \{(t-x)t(n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2) + (n|c_0| + |c_1|t)(t^2x + t^3)\},$$

which is non-negative, since by Lemma 2.4, for $\mu=1, (n|c_0| - |c_1|t) \geq 0$, and the fact that $x \leq t$.

Lemma 2.6. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$ then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$,

$$\max_{|z|=\rho} |f(z)| \leq \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t}\right)^n \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|. \tag{2.6}$$

This lemma is due to Jain [10].

Lemma 2.7. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$ then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$,

$$\max_{|z|=\rho} |f(z)| \leq \left(\frac{\rho^2+t^2+2t\rho|\delta|}{r^2+t^2+2tr|\delta|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|. \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{tc_1}{nc_0} \text{ and } |\delta| \leq 1.$$

This lemma was proved by Jain [11, see Remark 1]. Further, he mentioned that the function $\frac{\rho^2+t^2+2t\rho|\delta|}{r^2+t^2+2tr|\delta|}$ is a decreasing function of $|\delta|$ in $[0, 1]$ and as $|\delta| \leq 1$, it is concluded that

$$\left(\frac{\rho^2+t^2+2t\rho|\delta|}{r^2+t^2+2tr|\delta|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t}\right)^n, \tag{2.8}$$

which clearly implies that the bound of Lemma 2.7 improves over that of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.8. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 \leq r \leq \rho \leq t$, $|f(\rho e^{i\theta})| \leq |f(re^{i\theta})| + M(f, r)$

$$\times \left[\left\{ \frac{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2+t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right]. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Since $f(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < t$, $t > 0$, the polynomial $F(z) = f(xz)$ where $0 < x \leq t$ has no zero in $|z| < \frac{t}{x}$, where $\frac{t}{x} \geq 1$. Hence applying Lemma 2.3 to the polynomial $F(z)$, we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F'(z)| \leq n \left[\frac{n|c_0| + |c_1|x \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^2}{\left\{1 + \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^2\right\} n|c_0| + 2|c_1|x \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^2} \right] \max_{|z|=1} |F(z)|,$$

which implies

$$\max_{|z|=x} |f'(z)| \leq n \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2}{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \max_{|z|=x} |f(z)|. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, for $0 < r \leq \rho \leq t$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we have on using (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq \int_r^\rho |f'(xe^{i\theta})| dx \\ &\leq \int_r^\rho n \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2}{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \max_{|z|=x} |f(z)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

which on applying Lemma 2.7 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq \int_r^\rho n \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2}{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \\ &\times \left(\frac{x^2 + t^2 + 2tx|\delta|}{r^2 + t^2 + 2tr|\delta|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} M(f, r) dx \tag{2.11} \\ &= nM(f, r) \int_r^\rho \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2}{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \\ &\times \left(\frac{x^2 + t^2 + 2tx|\delta|}{r^2 + t^2 + 2tr|\delta|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} dx \tag{2.12} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the value of $\delta = \frac{tc_1}{nc_0}$, (2.12) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq nM(f, r) \\ &\times \int_r^\rho \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2}{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \left\{ \frac{(x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x}{(r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2r|c_1|} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{nM(f, r)}{\left((r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2r|c_1| \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &\times \int_r^\rho \left\{ (x^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|x \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (n|c_0|x + |c_1|t^2) dx \\ &= M(f, r) \left[\frac{\left\{ (\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\left\{ (r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}}} - 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

from which it is implied by triangle inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})| &\leq |f(re^{i\theta})| + M(f, r) \\ &\times \left[\frac{\left\{ (\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\left\{ (r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}}} - 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq \rho \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\rho+t)(n|c_0|\rho + |c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n - 1 \right\} &= \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n \\ &\times \left[1 - \frac{t(t-\rho)(n|c_0| - |c_1|t)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right] \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^n \right\} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.9. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\rho+t)(n|c_0|\rho + |c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n - 1 \right\} &= \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n \\ &\times \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{t+r}{t+\rho} \right)^n \right\} \left\{ \frac{(\rho+t)(n|c_0|\rho + |c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now

$$\left\{ \frac{(\rho+t)(n|c_0|\rho+|c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right\} = 1 - \left\{ \frac{t(t-\rho)(n|c_0|-|c_1|t)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \right\} \tag{2.14}$$

Using (2.14) in the right hand side (2.13), we get the required result.

Lemma 2.10. If $f(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v z^v$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq \rho \leq t$,

$$\left[\left\{ \frac{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right] \leq \frac{(n|c_0|\rho+|c_1|t^2)(\rho+t)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n - 1 \right\}$$

where δ is as defined in Lemma 2.7.

Proof of Lemma 2.10.

Consider the integral

$$I = \int_r^\rho n \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x+|c_1|t^2}{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \left(\frac{x^2+t^2+2tx|\delta|}{r^2+t^2+2tr|\delta|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} dx \tag{2.15}$$

By inequality (2.8) of Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\left(\frac{x^2+t^2+2tx|\delta|}{r^2+t^2+2tr|\delta|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{t+x}{t+r} \right)^n,$$

using this inequality in (2.15), we obtain

$$I \leq \int_r^\rho n \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x+|c_1|t^2}{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} \left(\frac{t+x}{t+r} \right)^n dx = \frac{n}{(r+t)^n} \int_r^\rho \left\{ \frac{n|c_0|x+|c_1|t^2}{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|x} \right\} (x+t)^n dx \tag{2.16}$$

For $0 < r \leq x \leq \rho \leq t$, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\frac{(n|c_0|x+|c_1|t^2)(x+t)}{(x^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2x|c_1|t^2} \leq \frac{(n|c_0|\rho+|c_1|t^2)(\rho+t)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \tag{2.17}$$

Again using (2.17) in (2.16), we get

$$I \leq \frac{n(\rho+t)}{(r+t)^n} \frac{(n|c_0|\rho+|c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \int_r^\rho (x+t)^{n-1} dx = (\rho+t) \frac{(n|c_0|\rho+|c_1|t^2)}{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2\rho|c_1|t^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho+t}{r+t} \right)^n - 1 \right\} \tag{2.18}$$

Again, from the value of the integral on the right hand side of inequality (2.11) in the proof of Lemma 2.8, the value of the

integral (2.15) is $\left[\left\{ \frac{(\rho^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2+t^2)n|c_0|+2t^2|c_1|r} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right]$, and the

conclusion of the lemma immediately follows from inequality (2.18).

Lemma 2.11. If $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n and

$g(z) = z^n \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$, then for each $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$ and $r > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| g'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} f'(e^{i\theta}) \right|^r d\theta d\alpha \leq 2\pi n^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(e^{i\theta}) \right|^r d\theta \tag{2.19}$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [2].

Lemma 2.12. Let z be complex and independent of α , where α is real, then for $p > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + ze^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha = \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} + |z||^p d\alpha \tag{2.20}$$

This lemma belongs to Gardner and Govil [6].

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Since the polynomial $f(z)$ has no zero in $|z| < t, t > 0$, the polynomial $F(z) = f(\rho z)$ has no zero in $|z| < \frac{t}{\rho}, \frac{t}{\rho} \geq 1$. By applying Lemma 2.2 to $F(z)$, we have for $|z| = 1$,

$$\frac{t}{\rho} |F'(z)| \leq |G'(z)| \text{ for } |z|=1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $G(z) = z^n \overline{F\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.

We can easily verify that for every real number α and $R \geq r' \geq 1$,

$$|R + e^{i\alpha}| \geq |r' + e^{i\alpha}|.$$

This implies for each $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |R + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |r' + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

For points $e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, for which $P'(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$, we denote

$$R = \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\theta})}{P'(e^{i\theta})} \right|, \text{ and } r' = \frac{k}{\rho} \text{ then from (3.1),}$$

$$R \geq r' \geq 1.$$

Now, we have for each $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |G'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} F'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\alpha = |F'(e^{i\theta})|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{G'(e^{i\theta})}{F'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha.$$

$$= |F'(e^{i\theta})|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{G'(e^{i\theta})}{F'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \text{ (by Lemma 2.12)}$$

$$\geq |F'(e^{i\theta})|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{t}{\rho} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha, \text{ [by (3.2)].}$$

(3.3)

For points $e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, for which $F'(e^{i\theta}) = 0$, inequality (3.3) trivially holds.

Now using (3.3) in Lemma 2.11, we obtain for each $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{t}{\rho} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \leq 2\pi n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n S_q \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where

$$S_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{t}{\rho} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Since $F(z) = f(\rho z)$, $F'(z) = \rho f'(\rho z)$,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{n}{\rho} S_q \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

This in conjunction with Lemma 2.8 and noting $\frac{S_q}{\rho} = T_q$,

we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n T_q \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [|f(re^{i\theta})| + M(f, r)] \times \left\{ \left[\frac{(\rho^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|\rho}{(r^2 + t^2)n|c_0| + 2t^2|c_1|r} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right\}^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arestov V.V., ‘‘On inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivative’’ *IZV. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Math.*, 45, 1981, pp.3-22.
- [2] Aziz A. and Rather N.A., ‘‘Some Zygmund type L^q inequalities for polynomials’’ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 289, 2004, pp. 14-29.
- [3] Bidkham M. and Dewan K.K., ‘‘Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative’’ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 166, 1992, pp. 14-29.
- [4] de-Bruijn N.G., ‘‘Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain’’ , *Nederl. Akad. Wetench. Proc. Ser. A*, 50, 1947, pp. 1265-1272, *Indag. Math.*, 9, 1947, pp. 591-598.
- [5] Dewan K.K. and Mir A., ‘‘On the maximum modulus of a polynomial and its derivatives’’ , *Inter. Jour. Math. Math Scs*, 16, 2005, pp. 2641-2645.
- [6] Gardner R.B. and Govil N.K., ‘‘An L^p inequality for a polynomial and its derivative’’ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 194, 1995, pp.720-726.

-
- [7] Gardner R.B. and Weems Amy, A Bernstein-type of L^p inequality for a certain class of polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 219, 1998, pp. 472-478.
- [8] Govil N.K. and Rahman Q.I., "Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137, 1969, pp. 501-517.
- [9] Govil N.K., Rahman Q.I. and Schmeisser G., "On the derivative of a polynomial", *Illinois J. Math.*, 23, 1979, pp. 319-329.
- [10] Jain V.K., Converse of an extremal problem in polynomial II, *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc.*, 60, 1994, pp. 41-47.
- [11] Jain V.K., On maximum modulus of polynomials with zeros outside a circle, *Glasnik Matematicki*, 29, 1994, pp. 267-274.
- [12] Lax P.D., Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 50, 1944, pp. 509-513.
- [13] Malik M.A., On the derivative of a polynomial, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 1, 1969, pp. 57-60.
- [14] Milovanovic G.V., Mitrinovic D.S. and Rassias Th. M., "Topics in polynomials, Extremal properties, Inequalities, Zeros", *World Scientific Publishing Co.*, 1994, Singapore.
- [15] Qazi M.A., "On the maximum modulus of polynomials", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 115, 1992, pp. 337-343.
- [16] Rahman Q.I. and Schmeisser G., " L^p inequalities for polynomials", *J. Approx. Theory*, 53, 1988, pp. 26-32.
- [17] Rather N.A., Extremal properties and location of the zeros of polynomials, *Ph.D. Thesis*, 1998, University of Kashmir.
- [18] Rudin W., "Real and complex Analysis", *Tata McGraw- Hill Publishing Company*, 1977, (Reprinted in India).
- [19] Schaeffer A.C., "Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. N. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 47(8), 1941, pp. 565- 579.
- [20] Zygmund A., "A remark on conjugate series", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 34, 1932, pp. 392-400.